UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,071	12/23/2004	Hendricus Franciscus van der Eerden	000023.00122	7470
27557 BLANK ROME	7590 10/26/201 E LLP	EXAMINER		
WATERGATE		LUK, EMMANUEL S		
WASHINGTO!	IPSHIRE AVENUE, N N, DC 20037	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1744	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/26/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/519,071	VAN DER EERDEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	EMMANUEL S. LUK	1791	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fi tte, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. The timely filed The timely filed The mailing date of this communication. The mailing date of this communication.	
Status			
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26. 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ Th 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters,		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 131-138 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 131-138 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration.	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic fority documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eation No vived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) \[\sum_{\text{Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)}} \]	4) Interview Summ		
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/15/10. 	Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	I Date al Patent Application	

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 131-138 are pending. These claims are newly added by the applicants, previous claims are noted as canceled by the applicants.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 7/26/10 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicants have canceled all the claims and introduced new claims 131-138. The newly added claims are rejected due to the rejection as set forth below. While claims 99-101, 114, and 117 were indicated allowable (and 121 and 122 were objected) in the previous office action, the newly added claims are not allowable due to the Jonovic reference for claims 131, 132, 134, 135 and 137, and further in view of Fay or Vogt for claims 133, 136, and 138.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 131, 132, 134, 135 and 137 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jonovic (4684040).

Jonovic teaches mold cavities located on the outer circumference of the drum of a molding device, also having a housing 22 with an inlet side and a drum side, along with through passage to allow for mass to pass through, the drum side also having a strap 47 that can bear against the outer circumference of the drum under pressure as a result of the pressure means (by being pressed

Art Unit: 1791

against the drum since the strap is in between the drum and the housing). As seen in Jonovic, the movable rotary volumetric piston dispensers with the working surface 31 located within the cylinders 32 acting as mold cavities. The strap member 47 also having a slot 49 that coincides with the mouth 51 of the manifold assembly 22 thereby allowing materials to be dispensed out into the working surface of the piston. A cutting device is provided in the through passage on the drum side via cut-off assembly, see Col. 8, lines 23-25.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize the strap member as taught by is equivalent to the flexible plate and both bear against the drum surface.

5. Claim 136 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jonovic (4684040) as applied to claim 131 above, and further in view of Fay (4212609).

Jonovic fails to teach a diverter passage.

However, such a passage is well known, particularly as shown in Fay, see Figure 3, wherein the material is also diverted to start filling at an upstream cavity, while the main cavity is being filled, the concept of having the cavities on a drum being filled with the further upstream cavity also being initially filled is well known in the arts. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Jonovic with a diverter passage as shown by Fay for improved efficiency as the materials are formed within the housing as the drum rotates.

Art Unit: 1791

6. Claims 133 and 138 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jonovic (4684040) as applied to claims 131-135 and 137 above, and further in view of Vogt (3490391).

Jonovic fails to teach the pressure means and positioned with respect to the direction of the rotation of the drum.

Vogt teaches the llamela and side walls that allow for the vacuum to be drawn through the pockets and also thereby allows for compressed gases to aid in ejection of the material. As seen in Vogt, the mold cavities on the drums being pocket forming member 31 having inlet 18, passages 32 and passage 34 and a vacuum, see col. 4, line 69, the drawing of the vacuum within the pocket assures filling of the pocket with the product material, see col. 4, lines 74-75. As seen in the pockets, there are side walls that allow for flow to the sides, see Figure 8.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Jonovic with the mold cavity structure as taught by Vogt to allow for improved filling of the material in the cavity and for ejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is

Application/Control Number: 10/519,071 Page 5

Art Unit: 1791

filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EMMANUEL S. LUK whose telephone number is (571)272-1134. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Fridays from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,071 Page 6

Art Unit: 1791

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Yogendra N Gupta/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791

EL